

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.              | FILING DATE     | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.    | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|------------------------|------------------|
| 09/737,175                   | 12/13/2000      | Theodore F. Rabenko  | 36900/CAG/B600         | 8414             |
| 23363                        | 7590 01/25/2005 |                      | EXAMINER               |                  |
| CHRISTIE, PARKER & HALE, LLP |                 |                      | HARPER, KEVIN C        |                  |
| PO BOX 7068<br>PASADENA. (   | CA 91109-7068   |                      | ART UNIT               | PAPER NUMBER     |
| ,                            |                 |                      | 2666                   |                  |
|                              |                 |                      | DATE MAILED: 01/25/200 | 5                |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  |  |  | 4  |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
|  |  | Application No.  | Applicant(s)   |  |  |  |
|  |  | 09/737,175   | RABENKO ET AL.   |  |  |  |
|  | Office Action Summary  | Examin r   | Art Unit   |  |  |  |
|  |  | Kevin C. Harper  | 2666   |  |  |  |
| Period f                                       | The MAILING DATE of this communication appropriate reply   | pears on the cover sh t with t   | he correspondenc address   |  |  |  |
| THE - Extended - aftended - if No - fail - Any | MORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 r sIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl D period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS a, cause the application to become ABAND | be timely filed ) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |
| Status   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1)[\]  | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 E   | <u> Pecember 2000</u> .  |  |  |  |  |
| 2a)□   | This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3)[  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | closed in accordance with the practice under L   | Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11  | , 453 O.G. 213.  |  |  |  |
| Disposit                                       | ion of Claims  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4)🖂  | Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the application  | l <b>.</b>   |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra   | wn from consideration.   |  |  |  |  |
| 5)⊠  | Claim(s) 18-29 is/are allowed.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6)⊠  | Claim(s) <u>1-3,5 and 11</u> is/are rejected.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7)🖂  | Claim(s) <u>4,6-10 and 12-17</u> is/are objected to.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8)□  | Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o  | or election requirement.   |  |  |  |  |
| Applicat                                       | ion Papers   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9)[  | The specification is objected to by the Examine  | er.  |  |  |  |  |
| 10)🖂   | ☐ The drawing(s) filed on <u>13 December 2000</u> is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☒ objected to by the Examiner.   |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Applicant may not request that any objection to the  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11)  | The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex   |  | -  |  |  |  |
| Priority (                                     | under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |  |  |  |  |  |
| a)   | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document  2. Certified copies of the priority document  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document  application from the International Bureau  See the attached detailed Office action for a list  | s have been received. s have been received in Applirity documents have been recu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).   | cation No eived in this National Stage   |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Attachmen                                      |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | te of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 4) Interview Summ  |  |  |  |  |
| 3) 🔯 Infori                                    | e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date 10/2001.   | Paper No(s)/Ma 5) ☐ Notice of Inform 6) ☐ Other:   | al Patent Application (PTO-152)  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 09/737,175

Art Unit: 2666

#### **Drawings**

Page 2

1. The drawings are objected to because in fig. 18 the arrow between ADC 527 and SLIC 525 should point upstream to the ADC (specification, page 5, line 6). Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

## Claim Objections

2. Claim 4 is objected to because the two counts should be present (fig. 18D, item 558-559 and 534). Examiner suggests, "counting at least a portion of a period between data receive flags, counting at least a portion of a period between data complete flags, the fractional resampling being a function of a ratio of the counts."

Application/Control Number: 09/737,175 Page 3

Art Unit: 2666

3. Claim 10 is objected to because "date" in line 2 should be --data--.

Appropriate correction is required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3, 5 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kao (US 4,815,109).

- 4. Regarding claim 1, Kao discloses a method of synchronizing data sampled by a first clock (fig. 2, item 14) to a second clock (item 54). The method comprises generating a clock error signal (SLIP signal from slip detector; col. 3, line 67 through col. 4, line 1) as a function of a data control flag (DERIVED CLKA; col. 3, lines 57-59) and fractionally resampling the data as a function of the clock error signal (col. 4, lines 1-4 and 7-20; col. 8, lines 1-3; fig. 5B, items 176 and 178; note: a percentage of samples are added or removed to provide fractional resampling of the signal; col. 5, lines 57-62).
- 5. Regarding claim 2, the data comprises voice (abstract, lines 1-6; col. 8, line 55).
- 6. Regarding claim 3, the data receive flag (fig. 4, CLKA) is periodically generated as a function of the first clock (col. 3, lines 57-58) and a data complete flag (fig. 4, CLK2) is periodically generated as a function of a second clock (col. 3, lines 59-64), where the clock error

Application/Control Number: 09/737,175

Art Unit: 2666

signal is generated as a function of the data received flag and data complete flag (col. 3, line 67

Page 4

through col. 4, line 1).

7. Regarding claim 5, the data is sampled with a first clock and received data is partitioned

into data packets (abstract, lines 1-6; col. 1, lines 37-41 and 42-45), where the data received flag

is generated upon receipt of each of the data packets (col. 1, lines 42-45; col. 1, lines 20-37).

8. Regarding claim 11, Kao discloses a synchronization circuit (fig. 2, item 52; fig. 3)

comprising an error generation unit (fig. 2, item 50) that generates a clock error signal (fig. 2,

SLIP) as a function of an average far end sampling rate (col. 1, lines 23-25) and a near end

sampling rate (col. 1, lines 49-53), and a sample tracker (fig. 2, item 52) for receiving sampled

data packets (col. 1, lines 25-30 and 42-45) and fractionally resampling the sampled data as a

function of the clock error signal (col. 4, lines 1-4; col. 3, lines 64-66; col. 1, lines 49-53).

#### Allowable Subject Matter

9. Claims 18-29 are allowed.

10. Claims 4, 6-10 and 12-17 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim,

but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the

base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure. Herrmann (US 5,204,676) discloses interpolation or decimation to convert a signal

from a first frequency to a second frequency (abstract, lines 1-4). Sugar (US 5,790,538; fig. 6)

Art Unit: 2666

and Wildfeuer et al. (US 6,829,244; col. 2, line 59 through col. 3, line 3) each discloses adjusting received voice data in a packet gateway. Sartain et al. (US 6,169,747) discloses resampling a signal to avoid buffer overflow or underflow (col. 2, lines 10-15; figs. 8-9).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin Harper whose telephone number is 571-272-3166. The examiner can normally be reached weekdays from 11:30 AM to 8:00 PM ET.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Seema S. Rao, can be reached at 571-272-3174. The centralized fax number for the Patent Office is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only (applications must be associated with a customer number). For more information about the PAIR system, see portal uspto gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kevin C. Harper

January 22, 2005

SEEMA S. RAO (/2 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600